## v.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Carl Dean Edwards,

Plaintiff

Clark County, Nevada, et al.,

Defendant

2:13-cv-01530-JAD-PAL

Order Certifying That *In Forma Pauperis* Status Should Not Continue

on Appeal

Pro se plaintiff Carl Dean Edwards sued defendants under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for claims arising out of the incarceration of his son, K.E., in juvenile custody, and his parental right to visit and communicate with his son. Magistrate Judge Peggy Leen granted Edwards's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and, after screening his complaint, dismissed this case for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted but gave Edwards until April 18, 2014, to file an amended complaint. By May 20, 2014, Edwards had failed to file an amended complaint or explain why he had failed to do so; Magistrate Judge Leen recommended that this case be dismissed for Edwards's failure to comply with her prior order. <sup>2</sup>

On June 4, 2014, Edwards filed a "notice of appeal"—which I liberally construed as an objection to Judge Leen's report and recommendation.<sup>3</sup> Although I agreed with Magistrate Judge Leen's conclusions based on the information she had in front of her at the time, I found that Edwards had presented me with new information that "his amended complaint was refiled . . . and repeatedly returned to the pod officers and Clark County's High Desert Prison" where he was incarcerated and, therefore, out of an abundance of caution, I sustained Edwards's objection and permitted Edwards

27 ECF No. 7 at 1.

28 SECF No. 13.

<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 5.

<sup>11</sup> ECF No. 10.

1	The Clerk of Court is directed to send a copy of this order to the U.S. Court of Appeals for
2	the Ninth Circuit (reference case number 17-15731).
3	Dated this 24th day of April, 2017.
4	100 Ber
5	Jennifer A. Dorsey United States District Judge
6	Officed States Disease Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28